## United States Court of Appeals

For the Fighth Circuit

Journal Control	y Caraa
No. 14-1	563
United States of	f America,
Plair	ntiff - Appellee,
v.	
Eloy Castaneda	-Gonzalez,
Defend	dant - Appellant.
Appeal from United Sta for the Western District of M	
Submitted: October Filed: October [Unpublis	r 8, 2014
Before COLLOTON, BOWMAN, and SHE	PHERD, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
Eloy Castaneda-Gonzalez directly a conspiracy charges and the district court <sup>1</sup>	ppeals after he pled guilty to drug sentenced him below the calculated

Appellate Case: 14-1563 Page: 1 Date Filed: 10/08/2014 Entry ID: 4204376

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Fernando J. Gaitan, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

Guidelines range. His counsel has filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the district court erred in applying a sentencing enhancement based on Castaneda's role in the offenses. Counsel also seeks leave to withdraw.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not clearly err in finding that Castaneda was a leader or organizer of a criminal activity involving five or more participants, and we therefore conclude that the challenged enhancement was proper. See United States v. Mesner, 377 F.3d 849, 851 (8th Cir. 2004) (clear-error review standard applies to findings underlying role-in-offense enhancement); see also United States v. Irlmeier, 750 F.3d 759, 763 (8th Cir. 2014) (listing factors court should consider in deciding whether offender was leader or organizer). Further, having independently reviewed the record pursuant to Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues.

As for counsel's motion to withdraw, we conclude that allowing counsel to withdraw at this time would not be consistent with the Eighth Circuit's 1994 Amendment to Part V of the Plan to Implement the Criminal Justice Act of 1964. We therefore deny counsel's motion to withdraw as premature, without prejudice to counsel refiling the motion upon fulfilling the duties set forth in the Amendment.

Judge Colloton would grant counsel's motion to withdraw. *See United States* v. *Eredia*, No. 13-3538, slip op. at 2-3 (8th Cir. Oct. 2, 2014) (unpublished) (Colloton, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part).

-2-